arXiv:1406.5797v1 [cs.IT] 23 Jun 2014

Constructions of A Large Class of Optimum Constant Weight Codes over $\mathbb{F}_2$

Masao KASAHARA * Shigeichi HIRASAWA †

Abstract

A new method of constructing optimum constant weight codes over $\mathbb{F}_2$ based on a generalized $(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}+\boldsymbol{v})$ construction [1]∼ [3] is presented. We present a new method of constructing superimposed code $C^{(h_1,h_2,\cdots,h_I)}_{(s_1,s_2,\cdots,s_I)}$ bound. and presented a large class of optimum constant weight codes over $\mathbb{F}_2$ that meet the bound due to Brouwer and Verhoeff [4], which will be referred to as BV . We present large classes of optimum constant weight codes over $\mathbb{F}_2$ for $k=2$ and $k=3$ for $n \leqq 128$. We also present optimum constant weight codes over $\mathbb{F}_2$ that meet the BV bound [4] for $k=2,3,4,5$ and 6, for $n \leqq 128$. The authors would like to present the following conjectures :

- C_I : $C^{(h_1)}_{(s_1)}$ presented in this paper yields the optimum constant weight codes for the code-length $n=3h_1$, number of information symbols $k=2$ and minimum distance $d=2h_1$ for any positive integer h_1.
- C_{II} : $C^{(h_1)}_{(s_1)}$ yields the optimum constant weight codes at $n=7h_1, k=3$ and $d=4h_1$ for any h_1.
- C_{III} : Code $C^{(h_1,h_2,\cdots,h_I)}_{(s_1,s_2,\cdots,s_I)}$ yields the optimum constant weight codes of length $n=2^{k+1}-2$, and minimum distance $d=2^k$ for any number of information symbols $k \geq 3$.

keyword

Optimum code, Constant weight code, Brouwer·Verhoef bound, $(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}+\boldsymbol{v})$ construction

1 Introduction

Extensive studies have been made of the construction of efficient codes over $\mathbb{F}_2$ [1]∼ [3]. In addition to cooperation with these studies the various bounds on the minimum distance of linear and non-linear codes have also been reported [4], [5]. In the 1970's the authors proposed the various classes of efficient codes on both random and compound channels based on the product code, the BCH code and the $(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}+\boldsymbol{v})$ construction [1]∼ [3]. A large number of constructed codes over $\mathbb{F}_2$ are listed in Table of the best known codes compiled by MacWilliams and Sloane [1]. Hereafter we shall denote the code by (n, k, d) code, where n, k and d denote code-length, number of information symbols and minimum distance respectively.

In this paper we propose a new method of constructing a large class of optimum constant weight codes over $\mathbb{F}_2$ [1] based on a generalized $(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}+\boldsymbol{v})$ construction.

We have presented a new method of constructing superimposed code $C^{(h_1,h_2,\cdots,h_I)}_{(s_1,s_2,\cdots,s_I)}$ and presented a large class of optimum constant weight codes.

*Research Institute for Science and Engineering, Waseda University. Research and Development Initiative, Chuo University. kasahara@ogu.ac.jp

†Research Institute for Science and Engineering, Waseda University. hira@waseda.jp

We present large classes of optimum constant weight codes that meet the bound due to Brouwer and Verhoeff [4] (BV bound) for $k = 2$, $k = 3$ for $n \leqq 128$.

We also present optimum constant weight codes that meet BV bound for $k = 2, 3, 4, 5$ and 6, for $n \leqq 128$.

2 Codes C_2 and C_3

2.1 Code C_2

In Fig.1 we show the principle of constructing superimposed code, over $\mathbb{F}_2$. This construction, $(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}+\boldsymbol{v})$ construction, yields the Reed-Muller codes and various other classes of efficient codes. In conventional constructions, the code $\{\boldsymbol{v}\}$ is chosen so that it may be capable of correcting twice as many errors, compared with the code $\{\boldsymbol{u}\}$. In the followings, these codes $\{\boldsymbol{u}\}$ and $\{\boldsymbol{v}\}$ will be referred to as $\boldsymbol{u}$ and code $\boldsymbol{v}$ code respectively. In this paper the superimposed code shown in Fig.1 will be referred to as code C_2. Let the code word of the code $\{C\}$ be denoted by the polynomial $C(x)$ and by the vector $\boldsymbol{C}$, over $\mathbb{F}_2$, as follows :

$$C(x) = u(x) + u(x)x^{\frac{n}{2}} + v(x)x^{\frac{n}{2}} \tag{1}$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{C} = (\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}+\boldsymbol{v}). \tag{2}$$



Fig. 1: Structure of code, C_2.

Similarly let the channel errors be denoted by the polynomial $e(x)$ and by the vector $\boldsymbol{e}$ over $\mathbb{F}_2$, as follows:

$$e(x) = e_{\mathrm{L}}(x) + e_{\mathrm{R}}(x)x^{\frac{n}{2}}, \tag{3}$$

$$\boldsymbol{e} = (\boldsymbol{e}_{\mathrm{L}}, \boldsymbol{e}_{\mathrm{R}}), \tag{4}$$

where $e_{\mathrm{L}}(x)$ denotes the random errors that occur on the code word $u(x)$ and $e_{\mathrm{R}}(x)$, on the code word of $u(x) + v(x)$. The received word over $\mathbb{F}_2$ can then be represented by

$$\boldsymbol{r} = (\boldsymbol{r}_{\mathrm{L}}, \boldsymbol{r}_{\mathrm{R}}), \tag{5}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{r}_{\mathrm{L}} = \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{e}_{\mathrm{L}} \tag{6}$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{r}_{\mathrm{R}} = \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{v} + \boldsymbol{e}_{\mathrm{R}}. \tag{7}$$

From the received word $\boldsymbol{r}$, we first generate an erroneous version of the code word $\boldsymbol{v}$ as follows :

$$\boldsymbol{r}_{\mathrm{L}} + \boldsymbol{r}_{\mathrm{R}} = \boldsymbol{v} + \boldsymbol{e}_{\mathrm{R}} + \boldsymbol{e}_{\mathrm{L}}. \tag{8}$$

Let the Hamming weight of the vector $\boldsymbol{v}$ be denoted by $w(\boldsymbol{v})$ and the minimum distance of the code $\{\boldsymbol{v}\}$, $d_{\boldsymbol{v}}$, then the code word $\boldsymbol{v}$ can be decoded correctly, with the conventional bounded distance decoding algorithm, if and only if the following relation holds :

$$w(\boldsymbol{e}_{\mathrm{L}} + \boldsymbol{e}_{\mathrm{R}}) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{d_{\boldsymbol{v}} - 1}{2} \right\rfloor, \tag{9}$$

where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the largest integer less than or equal to x. Subtracting the decoded $\boldsymbol{v}$ from the received word $\boldsymbol{r}$, we obtain the following $\boldsymbol{r}_D$:

$$\boldsymbol{r}_D = (\boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{e}_{\mathrm{L}}, \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{e}_{\mathrm{R}}). \tag{10}$$

Again with the minimum distance decoding algorithms, $\boldsymbol{r}_D$ can be decoded successfully if and only if the minimum distance, $d_{\boldsymbol{u}}$, of the code $\{(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u})\}$ satisfies the following relation:

$$w\{(\boldsymbol{e}_{\mathrm{R}}, \boldsymbol{e}_{\mathrm{L}})\} \leq d_{\boldsymbol{u}} - 1. \tag{11}$$

2.2 Code C_3

By further cascading code word $\boldsymbol{u}$ in s steps, we can construct code C_s. Assuming that $s = 3$, we shall present here several efficient codes that satisfy the minimum distance bound of the codes listed in Ref. [4], BV bound. In Fig.2, we let

$\{\boldsymbol{u}\}$: Maximum-period sequence of length n, M-sequence [1].

$\{\boldsymbol{v}\}$: $\{0^{(2n)}, 1^{(2n)}\}; n = 2^{\nu} - 1$,

where $a^{(n)}$ denotes all a's vector of length n.

Let us present several examples of optimum codes among code C_3, in Table 1. In the table d_{BV} denotes the minimum distance bound due to Brouwer and Verhoeff, referred to as BV bound.

However it should be noted that the codes given in Table 1 are not the constant weight codes, although M-sequence is a member of constant weight codes.



Fig. 2: Structure of C_3.

Table. 1: Examples of code C_3.

ν	n	N_1	k	d	d_{BV}
2	3	9	3	4	4
3	7	21	4	10	10
4	15	45	5	22	22
5	31	93	6	46	46

3 Codes $C_{(s_1)}^{(h_1)}$

Let us present the code $C_{(s_1)}^{(h_1)}$ by modifying the code C_2. We show the modified code $C_{(3)}^{(h_1)}$ given in Fig.3 as an example.

Example 1: $C_{(s_1)}^{(h_1)}$ **for** $s_1 = 2$**,** $h_1 = 1$**,** $k = 2; C_{(2)}^{(1)}(k = 2)$**.**

The simplest version of $C_{(s_1)}^{(h_1)}$ for $k = 2$, $C_{(2)}^{(1)}(k = 2)$, can be constructed based on the following codes:

$$\{\boldsymbol{u}\} = \{0, 1\} \tag{12}$$

and

$$\{\boldsymbol{v}\} = \{00, 11\}. \tag{13}$$

The code $C_{(2)}^{(1)}(k = 2)$ is shown below :

Code $C_{(2)}^{(1)}(k = 2)$.

message		code word
m_1	m_2	
0	0	0 0 0
0	1	0 1 1
1	0	1 1 0
1	1	1 0 1



Fig. 3: Code $C_{(3)}^{(h_1)}$

We see that $C^{(1)}_{(2)}(k=2)$ is a constant weight code that meets BV bound.

In the followings, let us present the modified code $C^{(h_1)}_{(s_1)}$ for $h_1 = 2, s_1 = 4$ and $k = 2$, $C^{(2)}_{(4)}(k=2)$.
Let the code $\{\boldsymbol{u}\}$ and $\{\boldsymbol{v}\}$ be

$$\{\boldsymbol{u}\} = \{0, 1\} \tag{14}$$

and

$$\{\boldsymbol{v}\} = \{0^{(J)}, 1^{(J)}\}, \tag{15}$$

where J is chosen as s_1.

In the followings let us present an example of optimum constant weight code for $k = 2$.

Example 2: $C^{(h_1)}_{(s_1)}$ **for** $s_1 = 4, J = s_1$**,** $h_1 = 2; C^{(2)}_{(4)}$
As J is $J = s_1 = 4$, the code words $\{\boldsymbol{u}\}$ and $\{\boldsymbol{v}\}$ are $\{\boldsymbol{u}\} = \{0, 1\}$ and $\{\boldsymbol{v}\} = \{0000, 1111\}$, yielding the code words, as shown below. We see that the code is (6,2.4) constant weight code.

message		code word
m_1	m_2	
0	0	0 0 0 0 0 0
0	1	0 0 1 1 1 1
1	0	1 1 1 1 0 0
1	1	1 1 0 0 1 1

Theorem 1: The code $C^{(h_1)}_{(s_1)}(k=2)$ is a constant weight code when h_1 satisfies $h_1 = \frac{s_1}{2}$.

Proof: Let the code word be denoted by $\boldsymbol{F}_i$. For any pair $(\boldsymbol{F}_i, \boldsymbol{F}_j)(i \neq j)$, the weight of $\boldsymbol{F}_i + \boldsymbol{F}_j, w_{ij}$, is given as shown below for $h_1 = \frac{s_1}{2}$:

m_1	m_2	w_{ij}
0	0	0
0	1	s_1
1	0	s_1
1	1	$\frac{s_1}{2} + h_1 = s_1$

, yielding the proof. □

In Table 2, we present the examples of optimum constant weight codes for $k = 2$. We see that, for $k = 2$, the constant weight codes exist for any s_1 =even number for $N_1 \leqq 126$. It is strongly conjectured that code $C^{(s_1/2)}_{(s_1)}(k=2)$ is a constant weight code that meets BV bound, for any code length $N_1 = 3h_1$.

Table. 2: Constant weight code, $C_{(s_1)}^{(h_1)}(k=2)$.

s_1	h_1	N_1	d	d_{BV}
2	1	3	2	2
4	2	6	4	4
6	3	9	6	6
8	4	12	8	8
		$\vdots$		
78	39	117	78	78
80	40	120	80	80
82	41	123	82	82
84	42	126	84	84

4 Code $C_{(s_1,s_2,\cdots,s_I)}^{(h_1,h_2,\cdots,h_I)}$

By superimposing the superimposed codes iteratively, a new class of codes are constructed. We shall refer to the constructed code as $C_{(s_1,s_2,\cdots,s_I)}^{(h_1,h_2,\cdots,h_I)}$.



Fig. 4: Structure of $C_{(2,2)}^{(h_1,h_2)}$.

In Fig.4, we show an example of code $C_{(s_1,s_2)}^{(h_1,h_2)}$ based on $C_{(s_1)}^{(h_1)}$, where $(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}*0^{(h_1)}+\boldsymbol{v}_1)$ is the code word of $C_{(s_1)}^{(h_1)}$.

The code word of $C_{(s_1,s_2)}^{(h_1,h_2)}$, $\boldsymbol{w}^{(h_1,h_2)}$, can be represented by

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{w}^{(h_1,h_2)} = \quad & (\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}*0^{(h_1)}+\boldsymbol{v}_1, \\ & (\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}*0^{(h_1)}+\boldsymbol{v}_1)+\boldsymbol{v}_2),\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where $*$ implies the concatenation. It is easy to see that when $h_1 = h_2 = 0$, then $C_{(2,2)}^{(0,0)}$ can be constructed by iterating the conventional $(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}+\boldsymbol{v})$ construction.

Thus the method presented in this paper could be referred to as a generalized[1] $(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}+\boldsymbol{v})$ construction or $g\cdot(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}+\boldsymbol{v})$ construction.

In the followings let us present several examples of optimum constant weight codes, among $C^{(h_1,h_2,\cdots,h_I)}_{(s_1,s_2,\cdots,s_I)}$.

Example 3: $C^{(h_1,h_2)}_{(s_1,s_2)}$ **for** $s_1=2, s_2=2, h_1=1$ **and** $h_2=1, C^{(1,1)}_{(2,2)}(k=3)$**.**

Let J be 4, the code words $\{\boldsymbol{u}\}$ and $\{\boldsymbol{v}\}$ are $\{(000),(011),(110),(101)\}$ and $\{(0000),(1111)\}$.

The constructed $C^{(1,1)}_{(2,1)}(k=3)$ is shown below.

message			code word
m_1	m_2	m_3	
0	0	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0
0	0	1	0 0 0 1 1 1 1
0	1	0	0 1 1 0 1 1 0
0	1	1	0 1 1 1 0 0 1
1	0	0	1 1 0 1 1 0 0
1	0	1	1 1 0 0 0 1 1
1	1	0	1 0 1 1 0 1 0
1	1	1	1 0 1 0 1 0 1

Let J be $J=\frac{3s_2}{2}+h_1=8$, the code words $\{\boldsymbol{u}\}$ and $\{\boldsymbol{v}\}$ are $\{(000),(011),(110),(101)\}$ and $\{(00000000),(11111111)\}$.

As shown below, $C^{(h_1,h_2)}_{(s_1,s_2)}$ for $h_1=1, h_2=2, s_1=2$ and $s_2=4$ constructed based on $C^{(1)}_{(2)}(k=2)$ is a $(14,3,8)$ constant weight code. It should be noted that $(14,4,7)$ code obtained by shortening $(15,4,8)$ maximum length code by 1 bit is not a member of the class of constant weight codes.

m_1	m_2	m_3	$\boldsymbol{u}$	$\boldsymbol{v}$	code word
0	0	0	000	00000000	000 000 000 000 00
		1		11111111	000 000 111 111 11
0	1	0	011	00000000	011 011 011 011 00
		1		11111111	011 011 100 100 11
1	0	0	110	00000000	110 110 110 110 00
		1		11111111	110 110 001 001 11
1	1	0	101	00000000	101 101 101 101 00
		1		11111111	101 101 010 010 11

Theorem 2: Code $C^{(h_1,h_2)}_{(s_1,s_2)}(k=3)$ constructed based on $C^{(h_1)}_{(2)}(k=2)$ is a constant weight code when $h_1=\frac{s_2}{2}$ and $J=3\times\frac{s_2}{2}+h_1=2s_2$.

Proof: Referring to Examples 2 and 3, we see that the following relation holds, for $h_1=\frac{s_2}{2}$ and $J=s_2$:

$$N_1=\frac{3s_2}{2}+\frac{3s_2}{2}+h_1=\frac{7s_2}{2} \tag{17}$$

The weight of any non-zero code word is given by $2s_2$ for $\boldsymbol{v}=\boldsymbol{0}$ or $2\times\frac{s_2}{2}+\frac{s_2}{2}+h_1=2s_2$ for $\boldsymbol{v}\neq\boldsymbol{0}$. □

From Theorem 2 we see that a large class of optimum constant weight code of $k=3$ exists for $s_1=1$ and any even s_2.

Let $\{\boldsymbol{u}\}$ and $\{\boldsymbol{v}\}$ be

$$\{\boldsymbol{u}\} = C^{(1)}_{(2)}(k=2) = \{(000),(011),(110),(101)\} \tag{18}$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{v} = \left\{0^{(3s_1/2+h_1)}, 1^{(3s_1/2+h_1)}\right\}. \tag{19}$$

In Table 3 and Table 4 we present several examples of optimum linear constant weight code of three information symbols, $C^{(h_1,h_2,\cdots,h_5)}_{(s_1,s_2,\cdots,s_5)}$ for $N_1 \leqq 128$.

Table. 3: Constant weight code $C^{(2,h_1)}_{(2,s_2)}$ for $k=3$.

s_2	h_1	N_1	d	d_{BV}		s_2	h_1	N_1	d	d_{BV}
2	1	7	4	4		20	10	70	40	40
4	2	14	8	8		22	11	77	44	44
6	3	21	12	12		24	12	84	48	48
8	4	28	16	16		26	13	91	52	52
10	5	35	20	20		28	14	98	56	56
12	6	42	24	24		30	15	105	60	60
14	7	49	28	28		32	16	112	64	64
16	8	56	32	32		34	17	119	68	68
18	9	63	36	36		36	18	126	72	72

Table. 4: Constant weight code $C^{(h_1,h_2,\cdots,h_5)}_{(s_1,s_2,\cdots,s_5)}$ for $k=3$.

s_1	h_1	s_2	h_2	s_3	h_3	s_4	h_4	s_5	h_5	N_1	d	d_{BV}
2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	2	2
2	1	3	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	5	5
2	1	3	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	6	6
2	1	3	1	2	2	-	-	-	-	22	11	11
2	1	3	1	2	1	-	-	-	-	23	12	12
2	1	3	1	2	2	2	2	-	-	46	23	23
2	1	3	1	2	1	2	1	-	-	47	24	24
2	1	3	1	2	1	2	1	2	2	94	47	47
2	1	3	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	95	48	48

In Table 5 we show examples of constant weight code for $k \geqq 2$ and $N_1 \leqq 128$.

Table. 5: Constant weight code $C^{(h_1,h_2,\cdots,h_5)}_{(s_1,s_2,\cdots,s_5)}$ for any k.

s_1	h_1	s_2	h_2	s_3	h_3	s_4	h_4	s_5	h_5	N_1	k	d	d_{BV}
2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	2	2	2
2	1	4	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	14	3	8	8
2	1	4	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	30	4	16	16
2	1	4	2	2	2	2	2	-	-	62	5	32	32
2	1	4	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	126	6	64	64

5 Conclusion

We have presented a new method of constructing superimposed code $C^{(h_1,h_2,\cdots,h_I)}_{(s_1,s_2,\cdots,s_I)}$ and have also presented a large class of optimum constant weight code.

We have presented large classes of optimum constant weight codes for $k = 2$ and $k = 3$, for $n \leqq 128$.

We have presented optimum linear constant weight codes that meet BV bound given for $n \leqq 128$, $k = 2, 3, 4, 5$ and 6.

Conjectures

(I) Code $C^{(h_1)}_{(s_1)}(k = 2)$ yields the optimum sonstant weight code at any code length N_1 and at any minimum distance d such that $N_1 = 3h_1$ and $d = 2h_1$ for any $h_1 \geqq 1$.
(II) The code $C^{(h_1)}_{(s_1)}(k = 3)$ yields the optimum linear code at any code length N_1 and at any minimum distance d such that $N_1 = 7h_1$ and $d = \frac{N_1+h_1}{2}$ for any $h_1 \geqq 1$, for $k = 3$.
(III)There exist optimum constant weight of length $2^{k+1} - 2$ and minimum distance 2^k, for any $k \geqq 3$.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Prof. M. Kobayashi at Shonan Institute of Technology and Prof. H. Yagi at The University of Electro-Communications, for their helpful discussions.

References

[1] F.J.MacWilliams and N.J.A.Sloane, "The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes", North-Holland, (1977).

[2] M.Kasahara and S.Hirasawa, "Construction of Superimposed Codes and the Decoding Algorithm", Proc. of SITA '92, pp.441-444, (1992-09).

[3] M.Kasahara, Y.Sugiyama, S.Hirasawa, and T.Nanekawa, "New Class of Binary Codes Constructed on the Basis of Concatenated Codes and Product Codes", IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, Vol.IT-22, No.4, pp.462-468, (1976-07).

[4] A.E.Brouwer and Tom Verhoeff, "An updated Table of Minimum-Distance Bounds for Binary Linear Codes", IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, Vol.39, No.2, pp.662-677, (1993-03).

[5] H.J. Helgert and R.D. Stinaff, "Minimum-distance bounds for binary codes," IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, Vol. IT-19, pp.344-356, (1973-5).

[6] M.Kasahara and S.Hirasawa, "Construction of A Large Class of Optimum Linear Codes for Small Number of Information Symbols", IEICE Tech. Report, IT 2009-137, (2010-3).

[7] W.W.Peterson,"Error-Correcting Codes",MIT Press,(1961).